Syndiotactic Polystyrene Synthesis using Polymer-Supported Titanocene Catalysts
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ABSTRACT

Supported titanocene catalysts have been prepared from crosslinked chloromethylated polystyrene beads and used for styrene polymerization. The interactions between the titanium cation and the amine functionality of the support are sufficient to prevent extraction under polymerization conditions to yield polystyrene with good morphology. The experimental results indicated that polymer-supported C₅(CH₃)₅TiCl₃ treated with MAO as a cocatalyst exhibits a higher catalytic activity than borate-functionalized polymer-supported C₅(CH₃)₅TiCl₃-AlBu₃. The obtained polymer product is characterized to be syndiotactic polystyrene.
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INTRODUCTION

Syndiotactic polystyrene is a new polymeric material of industrial relevance (Ishihara, et al. 1988). The high crystallization rate and the high melting point (270°C), make this polymer a crystalline engineering thermoplastic material with potential applications. It was first made by Ishihara (1986) using a soluble titanocene compound, activated by methylalumoxane (MAO) (Ishihara, et al. 1986).

To replace the conventional Ziegler-Natta catalysts used in industrial slurry and gas-phase processes with titanocene catalyst, the soluble catalyst has to be immobilized on supports. The supported catalysts can overcome some disadvantages of homogeneous catalysts, such as poor polymer morphology, reaction fouling, and low bulk density of polymer. Inorganic supports, such as silica or alumina, have been used in the production of polyolefins, but such acidic supports have reactive surfaces that can lead to catalyst deactivation. Crosslinked polystyrene beads possess several attributes of a good support. They are unreactive to the catalyst, commercially available and amenable to numerous functionalization procedures. Crosslinked polystyrenes were used to coordinate with titanium catalysts for styrene polymerization, Yu, et al. (1996) with zirconium catalysts for ethylene polymerization, Hong, et al. (1998) and with hafnium catalysts for ethylene and propylene polymerizations (Kishi, et al. 2000).

In this work, functionalized polystyrene-supported titanocene catalysts have been prepared and used for the styrene polymerization.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

All manipulations of air- and moisture-sensitive materials were performed with the Schlenk technique. Solvents were purified by refluxing over sodium/benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen. Chloromethylated polystyrene-co-divinylbenzene beads (2%DVB, 4.3 mmol Cl/g resin) were purchased from Fluka. Styrene was dried over calcium hydride and distilled under vacuum. C₅(CH₃)₅TiCl₃ (or Cp*TiCl₃), C₅H₅TiCl₂ (or CpTiCl₂), AlBu₃ (or TIBA) and methylaluminoxane (or MAO) were donated from the Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST), Japan; and [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)₄] was donated from the Dow Chemical Company, USA.

Analytical Methods

¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL JNM-A500 at the Chemical Resources Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan. A Nicolet FT-IR Impact 410 Spectrometer at the Chemistry Department, Chulalongkorn University, was employed to characterize samples by pressing with KBr. The melting temperature of the polystyrene was determined by a NETZSCH DSC 200 at the Scientific and Technological Research Equipment Center (STREC), Chulalongkorn University. The analyses were performed at a heating rate of 10°C/min, in the temperature range of 25°-300°C. The second scan was recorded because the first scan was influenced by the mechanical and thermal history of the samples. The molecular weight and the molecular weight distribution of the produced polystyrene were measured at 135°C using 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene as solvent at 1.0 ml/min by a Water 150-C column using standard polystyrene as the reference, at the Chemical Resources Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan. The morphology of the polymer beads were recorded on a JEOL JSM-5800 LV at the Scientific and Technological Research Equipment Center (STREC), Chulalongkorn University. The syndiotacticity content of polystyrene was determined by extracting the polymer in a Soxhlet extractor with methyl ethyl ketone. The fraction of the unextracted polystyrene multiplied by 100 was a percentage of the syndiotacticity.

Preparation of functionalized polymer (Roscoe, et al. 2000)

Synthesis of PS-CH₂NMe₂ (a), step 1

In a 100 mL Schlenk flask with a magnetic stirring bar, chloromethylated polystyrene-co-DVB beads (0.56 g) were swollen in a 2 M solution of dimethylamine in THF (5 mL, 10 mmol) and stirred for 2 days at room temperature. The aminated beads (a) were filtered and rinsed with THF and water and then dried under vacuum at 60°C. A complete reaction was demonstrated by the loss of the PhCH₂Cl bending vibration at 1265 cm⁻¹ and the appearance of new
bands at 1255 (PhCH₂NR₂), 2817 and 2765 cm⁻¹ [N(CH₃)$_2$ stretch] in the IR spectrum.

**Synthesis of PS-CH₂[NMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)$_4$] (b), step 2**

The aminated beads (a) were then treated with a solution of [PhNMe₂H][B(C₆F₅)$_4$] (0.58 g, 0.78 mmol) in dichloromethane for 2 h and then filtered, followed by rinsing with dichloromethane and drying in vacuum at 60°C to give a functionalized polymer (b). The N(CH₃)$_2$ stretches diminish and are replaced by a band at 3303 (NH stretch), and bands of perfluorophenylborate at 1644, 1516, 1086 and 973 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum.

**Preparation of polymer-supported catalyst using boron as a cocatalyst**

**PS-CH₂[NMe₂][B(C₆F₅)$_4$][C₅(CH₃)$_5$TiBu₂](c), step 3**

First, a toluene mixture of C₅(CH₃)$_5$TiCl₃ (0.1 mmol) and Al/Bu₃ (0.1 mmol) was prepared (to convert a chloride complex to an alkyl complex). Then it was added to the 0.07 g borated functionalized beads (b). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then the beads (c) were filtered, rinsed with toluene and dried under vacuum.

Procedures of steps 1, 2 and 3 are shown in Figure 1.

---

**Preparation of a polymer-supported catalyst using MAO as a cocatalyst**

**Method A**

The procedure in step 1 is the same as above. In step 2, MAO (3 mmol) was added to 0.1 g aminated beads (a) which was swollen in toluene 20 mL. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The beads were filtered and rinsed with toluene. In step 3, a solution of C₅H₅TiCl₃ (0.1 mmol) was added to the treated beads, and stirred for 24 h at 50°C, then the supported catalyst was filtered and washed with toluene. The synthetic procedure of MAO-supported catalyst is shown in Figure 2.
**Method B**

In step 1, chloromethylated polystyrene-co-divinylbenzene beads (0.1 g) were swollen in toluene (20 mL) and stirred for 15 min at room temperature and then MAO (3 mmol) was added and stirred for 4 h. The beads were filtered and washed with toluene. In step 2, the procedure is the same as the step 3 of method A. The synthetic procedure of MAO directly treated-supported catalyst is shown in Figure 3. In addition, the same procedure was repeated, but \( \text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{TiCl}_3 \) was replaced with \( \text{C}_5(\text{CH}_3)_5\text{TiCl}_3 \).
Polymerization procedure

In a nitrogen atmosphere, 10 mL of styrene (9.06 g, 87 mmol) was added into a Schlenk flask, followed by Al\(\text{Bu}_3\) (20 mmol). The polymer-supported catalyst (0.1 g) was then introduced and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at 70°C. The polymerization was terminated by the addition of 10% HCl in methanol. The polymer was collected by filtration, washed with methanol and dried at 60°C in a vacuum oven until resulting constant weight. Each polymerization was repeated at least twice to ensure the reproducibility. In some experiments, MAO (3 mmol) was added instead of Al\(\text{Bu}_3\).

The obtained polymers were characterized by NMR, DSC and GPC techniques.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polymer-supported catalyst preparation

A straightforward method for functionalizing a polystyrene support with the appropriate cocatalyst is to treat commercially available chloromethylated polystyrene-co-divinylbenzene with a secondary amine to form a weakly basic anion exchange resin. The treatment of the amine-functionalized polymer with [PhNMe\(\text{2}\)!][B(C\(\text{6}\)F\(\text{5}\)\(\text{4}\)]) transfers a proton to the amine on the resin. The prepared resin was characterized by FTIR (Figure 4), the result is in good agreement with that from the literature (Roscoe, et al. 2000).

Figure 4 FTIR spectra of prepared resin. PS-\(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2\) (a) (above), PS-\(\text{CH}_2[\text{NMe}_2\text{H}][\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4]\) (b) (below).

Table 1 The results of styrene polymerization with supported catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Yield (g)</th>
<th>% Conversion</th>
<th>% SPS</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>P-Cp*TiCl(\text{3}) + TIBA</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P-Cp*TiCl(\text{3}) + TIBA(\text{a})</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>P-Cp*TiCl(\text{3}) + MAO(Method B)</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>P-CpTiCl(\text{3}) + MAO (Method A)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>P-CpTiCl(\text{3}) + MAO (Method B)</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymerization conditions: Catalyst bead 0.1 g, Ti loading = 0.1 mmol, styrene 10 mL, reaction time = 4 h, temperature = 70°C

\(\text{a}\) The result from test of titanium leaching, by stirring the catalyst in toluene for 24 h before use in polymerization.

ND = not determined

The active catalysts are then generated \textit{in situ} by the treatment of the borated beads with a mixture of C\(_5\)(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)TiCl\(_3\) and Al/Bu\(_3\).
Table 2 Molecular weights and weight distribution (MWD) of polystyrene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Mw</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>MWD (Mw/Mn)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-Cp*TiCl3 + MAO</td>
<td>40,304</td>
<td>17,098</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-CpTiCl3 + MAO</td>
<td>190,349</td>
<td>52,564</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 1, comparing entries 1 and 2, the result from the test of titanium leaching shows that the metal was not washed out by solvent (toluene) indicating that it is chemically bonded to the polymer support. In entry 3, using MAO as a cocatalyst instead of TIBA, the % conversion is higher. This result is in agreement with those in other catalytic systems that MAO is a more efficient cocatalyst (Alt and Koppl, 2000). Comparing titanium complexes: % conversion of Cp*TiCl3 is higher than that of CpTiCl3, this demonstrates that the active sites are stabilized by electron releasing methyl substituents on the Cp ring (Alt and Koppl, 2000).

Comparing titanium complexes: % conversion of Cp*TiCl3 is higher than that of CpTiCl3, this demonstrates that the active sites are stabilized by electron releasing methyl substituents on the Cp ring (Alt and Koppl, 2000). The results from entries 4 and 5 show that catalyst prepared with method B (direct treatment of polymer support with MAO) exhibits a higher activity than catalyst prepared with method A (treatment of the aminated polymer support with MAO). This might result from the more electron deficient Al (coordinated to Cl), which in turn abstracts halide from metal complexes to generate cation active species. All catalysts give high % syndiotacticy. The melting point of the polystyrene obtained confirms that it is syndiotactic polystyrene (Xu, et al. 2000).

In Table 2, the molecular weight of the polystyrene obtained from the P-Cp*TiCl3 catalytic system is lower than that from P-CpTiCl3, this result suggests that for a polymer supported catalyst system, steric effects disturb the propagation step of the polymer, it should be noted that for a homogeneous system, the reverse was observed since the electronic effect plays a more important role (Yokota, et al. 1999).

A representative 13C NMR spectra of the obtained polystyrene synthesized by P-Cp*TiCl3 + MAO and P-CpTiCl3 + MAO are presented in Figure 5. The phenyl C1 carbon can provide the best guide to determine the stereoregularity of polystyrene (Ishihra, et al. 1988). The spectrum shows C1 carbon resonance at 145 ppm. It should be noted that the spectrum of atactic polystyrene shows five peaks at 145-146 ppm, while the isodiotactic polystyrene shows a single peak at 146 ppm (Figure 6).

Figure 5 13C-NMR spectra of the obtained polystyrene synthesized by
(1) P-Cp*TiCl3 + MAO (2) P-CpTiCl3 + MAO

Figure 6 13C-NMR spectra (expanded phenyl C1 region) of polystyrene
(1) atactic (2) isotactic (3) syndiotactic
It is well known that the morphology of the product polymer frequently mirrors that of the catalyst particle in heterogeneous (silica support) Ziegler-Natta polymerizations (Tait and Ediati, 1997). A similar behavior was observed for the catalysts supported on polymer (Kishi, et al. 2000). SEM micrographs in Figure 7 show the morphology of polystyrene synthesized from the supported catalyst system and homogeneous system. It can be seen that the supported catalyst gives polymers with a good morphology.
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